The Ehlers-Danlos Syndromes (EDS) are a group of multisystemic, inherited conditions that affect connective tissue (Malfait et al, 2017). The various subtypes of EDS can share symptoms such as joint hypermobility and hyperextensible and/or fragile skin (Bloom et al, 2017), yet many EDS subtypes also include clinical characteristics relating to pain, extreme fatigue, irritable bowel, sleep disturbance, depression, anxiety and other cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, orthopaedic, oromandibular, neurological, allergic/immunological, and psychological aspects of health (Tinkle et al, 2017). The prevalence of EDS was historically estimated to be 1 in 5000 for all subtypes (Beighton et al, 1998), although other work suggests a prevalence of 0.75-2% (Hakim and Sahota, 2006). There has been no high-quality prevalence study carried out since EDS received a major reclassification in 2017 (Tinkle et al, 2017).

Despite these estimated prevalence rates, EDS is considered to remain largely underdiagnosed (Castori, 2012; Gazit et al, 2016). This is concerning for those receiving maternity care, as it is also associated with a number of complications relating to pregnancy and birth. Such complications can include precipitate labour, preterm rupture of membranes, scoliosis (problems with anaesthesia), atonic uterus, bleeding, vaginal and/or perineal tears during birth, wound dehiscence and tissue fragility (Lawrence, 2005; Castori et al, 2012). This presents a unique opportunity for midwives and other members of the multidisciplinary team to understand, raise awareness of and more effectively support undiagnosed pregnant women, and those suspected of having or diagnosed with EDS.

Those diagnosed with EDS perceive a lack of awareness among health professionals and describe delays in access to appropriate healthcare services (Terry et al, 2015). If EDS remains poorly understood by the multidisciplinary team, this may significantly compromise maternity care (Ross and Grahame, 2011). Consequently, this paper draws from wider literature and a number of key contemporary reviews to present evidence-based care considerations for both the mother and the neonate during the antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal periods. However, this field of research is at the early stages of building an evidence base and much more research into this area is needed.

Abstract
The Ehlers-Danlos Syndromes (EDS) are an underdiagnosed group of conditions with implications and risks associated with childbearing. Those with EDS suggest that health professionals lack of awareness in this area, and consequently describe delays in access to appropriate healthcare services. This article draws on the existing international evidence available to present evidence-based care considerations for childbearing women with hypermobile Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome (hEDS) throughout the antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal periods. Care considerations are also offered in relation to the care of the newborn infant. The management of hEDS in childbearing women and babies can be complex. Findings point to the need for a multidisciplinary approach to formulating individualised care plans in partnership with women. In understanding the evidence in relation to this issue, midwives will be better able to practice evidence-based and woman-centred care.
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Clinical practice

The mental wellbeing of those with all subtypes of EDS can also deteriorate further in conjunction with exacerbated fatigue and pain. For midwives, this means proactively making early and appropriate referrals to local services in partnership with the mother.

The International EDS Consortium recognises 13 EDS subtypes. While the much rarer vascular EDS holds the most significant risks for childbearing women, including arterial dissection/rupture, uterine rupture and haemorrhage (Murray et al, 2014); this article will primarily focus on hypermobility EDS (hEDS), as it is the most common form of EDS (Volkov et al, 2007).

hEDS is part of the most recent reclassification (Tinkle et al, 2017), but in previous classification systems it was known as either Ehlers–Danlos syndrome type III or Ehlers–Danlos syndrome hypermobility type (Malfait et al, 2017; Smith, 2017). The reclassification also introduced a new hypermobility-based diagnosis; hypermobility spectrum disorder (HSD). Treatment for HSD and for those with a historical diagnosis of joint hypermobility syndrome will be the same as for hEDS. While Chetty and Norton have recently provided guidance for obstetric care in women with genetic disorders (Chetty and Norton, 2017), to the authors’ knowledge, this is the first paper to draw on existing evidence to explore midwifery care considerations for this unique subgroup of childbearing women.

Antenatal care considerations

Those with a variety of EDS subtypes report significantly higher rates of infertility than the general population (Hurst et al, 2014). As such, families may wish to access genetic counselling services, where individual hereditary factors can be explored more thoroughly. Some women may take medication; therefore, a pre-conceptual review would be advisable, as prescribed medications may need to be stopped or changed.

As one of the key features of EDS and its many subtypes is disordered collagen synthesis, it is considered reasonable to offer additional monitoring to women, beginning with earlier ultrasound scanning to confirm the pregnancy and to monitor cervical length as the pregnancy progresses (Hurst et al, 2014). It is also prudent to note that striae atrophicae (stretch marks) are common in those with hEDS and may well be present before a pregnancy occurs (Castori, 2012). This is also important when assessing maternal parity, where stretch marks may be taken as an indication of a previous pregnancy.

Following conception, increased levels of the relaxin hormone during pregnancy can exacerbate pre-existing joint elasticity and pain in those with hEDS (Atalla and Page 1988; Lind and Wallenburg, 2002; Volkov et al, 2007). As such, these women are three times more likely to require referral for intervention due to pelvic girdle pain and instability than the general population (Lind and Wallenburg 2002; Tinkle, 2010). Consequently, the identification of excess joint mobility, joint dislocations, pelvic pain and/or instability may prompt an early referral to both physiotherapy and GP services. Appropriate maternal positioning should also be led by the mother throughout routine examinations, to minimise the risk of joint dislocations or excess pain.

The elasticity of soft tissues means that there is an increased risk of profound varicose veins in the legs and the vulva during pregnancy (Tinkle, 2010), and compression hosiery and/or a referral to a vascular service may be advisable (Marsden et al, 2013). Likewise, while gastroesophageal reflux is a common complaint of pregnancy, those with hEDS can experience symptoms of this more frequently (Castori et al, 2010a), again thought to be due to the elasticity of soft tissues. Symptoms can be routinely managed with diet and lifestyle changes, such as avoiding fatty or spicy foods, remaining in an upright position, and taking antacids and/or antilacites. It is worth trying to avoid opioids, as gastric symptoms can be exacerbated by their use (Levy 1993).

Women with hEDS often also experience postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS) (Grigoriou et al, 2015), which could affect up to 78% of women (Gazit et al, 2003). POTS is defined by a rise in heart rate of >30 beats/min, or a heart rate of >120 beats/min reached within 10 min of head-up tilt when moving from supine to an upright position (Kanjwal et al, 2003). While this is significant to those with hEDS, it is also an important consideration for maternity services, as POTS predominantly occurs in women of childbearing age (Kanjwal et al, 2003).

During pregnancy, cardiovascular changes such as peripheral venous pooling and inferior vena cava obstruction may exacerbate the symptoms of POTS, such as episodes of dizziness, nausea, palpitations, fatigue and fainting (Kanjwal et al, 2009). Allowing for and predicting such changes during routine antenatal examinations and intrapartum care could avoid misdiagnoses and/or unnecessary intervention, as maternity care and postural positioning becomes tailored to the individual. Furthermore, it is useful to note that those with POTS frequently also experience episodes of hypotension, or of orthostatic intolerance (Jones and Ng, 2008). They may also experience dysautonomia, a term used to describe a malfunction of the wider autonomic nervous system (Tinkle, 2010). As such, adequate salt and fluid intake...
is considered especially important in cases of POTS, particularly if vomiting occurs in early pregnancy. Nevertheless, some symptoms associated with POTS are reported to improve or remain stable during and after pregnancy (Kimpinski et al, 2010; Blitshteyn et al, 2012).

In caring for the psychological wellbeing of women receiving maternity care at all stages, it is important to recognise that those with hEDS are more likely to experience depression and anxiety than the general population (Castori et al, 2010a; Baeza-Velasco et al, 2011). The mental wellbeing of those with all subtypes of EDS can also deteriorate further in conjunction with exacerbated fatigue and pain (Voermans et al, 2010; Rombaut et al, 2011). As such, it is important for the multidisciplinary team to manage pain and fatigue effectively in partnership with any mental health management strategies. For midwives, this means proactively making early and appropriate referrals to local services in partnership with the mother.

**Intrapartum care considerations**

While those with hEDS should not be discouraged from vaginal birth (Sundelin et al, 2017), there are other specific care considerations to be made for this unique subgroup of childbearing women. For example, due to the hypermobile nature of hEDS, it is practical to consider appropriate maternal positioning throughout labour and birth. In over-extending the hips via lithotomy or the McRoberts manoeuvre, excess pain and/or injury may be caused and unstable joints that dislocate easily may be loosened (Mollohollí, 2011). These risks of injury may be increased by the use of either local or regional anaesthetic, as pain when joints dislocate may then be eliminated. Accurate record keeping and collaborative antenatal planning are therefore advisable to reduce the incidence of such complications.
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Box 1. Sources of information

For further information, please visit:
Ehlers-Danlos Support UK
https://www.ehlers-danlos.org/
Hypermobility Syndromes Association
http://hypermobility.org/

appropriate, midwives could also usefully optimise strategies that promote spontaneous pushing in favour of directed pushing.

In other serious cases of POTS, birth via caesarean section has been recommended (Glatter et al, 2005), although the majority of patients with POTS are seemingly able to birth vaginally (Kanjwal et al, 2009). In cases where excess joint pain associated with hEDS becomes intolerable, birth via caesarean section may again be indicated (Dutta et al, 2011); yet, in all cases, midwives could usefully promote effective pain management and the use of therapeutic birthing environments to promote reductions in stress. Where indicated, it is important to note that birth via caesarean section does not come without its individual risk factors for women with EDS in the postnatal period.

Postnatal care considerations

Major postpartum complications for those with hEDS and other subtypes of EDS can include abnormal scar formation after either caesarean section or episiotomy (46.1%); haemorrhage (19.4%); pelvic prolapses, which may be associated with episiotomy (15.3%); deep venous thrombosis (4.2%); complicated perineal wounds (8%) and coccyx dislocation (1.4%) (Lind and Wallenburg 2002; Jones and Ng 2008, Castori et al, 2012). While the majority of such complications would be managed in line with standard recommendations, there are some specialist considerations to be made for those with hEDS. For example, birth injuries and the effects of other obstetric procedures can be further aggravated by poor wound healing and a higher risk of suture dehiscence during the postnatal period (Hakim and Grahame, 2003; Hakim et al, 2005, Castori 2012). As such, the use of non-tension, non-dissolvable, deep double sutures, left in for at least 14 days is advisable (Chetty and Norton, 2017). Additionally, since local anaesthesia can be less effective for those with hEDS (Arendt-Nielsen et al, 1990; Hakim et al, 2005), it is prudent to assess pain on an individual basis before commencing any type of surgical repair. Midwives may need to wait longer for local anaesthetics to take effect in those with hEDS, and/or administer larger doses in line with protocols.

Stress urinary incontinence has been found in 40%–70% of women with hEDS (Arunkalaivanan et al, 2009; Castori et al, 2010b). This is thought to be associated with weakened pelvic floor, cystocele, bladder distention and pelvic prolapse caused by connective tissue abnormalities (Castori et al, 2010a; Tinkle, 2010; Tinkle et al, 2017). Such stresses can only be exacerbated by the added physical endurance of pregnancy and birth. Physiotherapy-based interventions throughout the antenatal and postnatal periods may therefore be useful for preventing, living with, and treating stress urinary incontinence (Sangsawang, 2014); however, in other cases, a medical or surgical referral may be most appropriate. A healthy diet and lifestyle, mobility care considerations and pelvic floor exercises may help to improve or reduce the risk of maternal symptoms (Sangsawang, 2014; National Institute for Heath and Care Excellence (NICE), 2017).

Neonatal care considerations

Given that pregnancy and birth can be a dangerous time for those with hEDS, there can also be significant risks for the neonate, and therefore it would be prudent to prepare for resuscitation and respiratory support due to prematurity and/or hypotonia if the neonate is also predicted to inherit any type of EDS (Lawrence, 2005). Though the risk of premature birth has already been established here, it is interesting to note that this was found to be more related to hEDS in the infant (40%), and less prevalent for maternal hEDS (21%) (Lind and Wallenburg, 2002).

There are also further opportunities presented during the Newborn Infant Physical Examination (NIPE) for midwives to initiate further multidisciplinary input where hEDS is present in either parent. Firstly, there is an opportunity to compare the infant’s overall tone and appearance to expectations appropriate for gestational age, considering that joint hyperlaxity and dislocatability is a common feature of hEDS (Lawrence, 2005). Unsurprisingly, in one cohort of children diagnosed with joint hypermobility/EDS (now classified as hEDS and HSDs), 12% had ‘clicky’ hips at birth and 4% were found to possess an actual congenital dislocatable hip (Adib et al, 2005). This may be a significant consideration when interpreting the findings from both Barlow and Ortolani hip manoeuvres (Kishta et al, 2017). As such, where hEDS is suspected, those who identify ‘clicky hips’ could usefully record this as being clinically significant.

It is also useful to examine the baby’s skin at this time, as some babies with EDS will have skin that feels soft, velvet-like or ‘doughy’ (Beighton et al, 1998). It is also useful to inspect the forehead, chin, elbows, or knees for hyper extensible skin on the palm side of the forearm and observe for skin that splits easily (Lawrence, 2005, Beighton et al, 1998). While findings may be significant for ongoing care, it is important to note that subcutaneous newborn fat may impair some early assessments.
Babies suspected of having hEDS may require additional joint support during general care and clinical procedures (Lawrence, 2005). In promoting the safeguarding of children, it is also important to consider that easy bruising and dislocation may be mistaken for mistreatment (Bird, 2007). In such cases, the accurate documentation of any bodily markings identified, along with symptoms consistent with hEDS, is paramount.

The role of midwifery
Midwives work in partnership with women and families to promote and optimise the childbearing experiences and outcomes. EDS remains underdiagnosed (Castori 2012; Gazit et al, 2016), and so midwives have a unique opportunity to identify any potential signs and symptoms of hEDS that may require specialised clinical attention. Since the role of the midwife emphasises woman-centred care, midwives also have an opportunity to recognise, acknowledge and respect the distinctive needs, ideas, thoughts, emotions and expectations of childbearing women (Borrelli, 2014), including those who present with EDS symptomologies.

While it is not within a midwife’s remit to necessarily diagnose hEDS, the midwife is obliged to accurately assess any person receiving their care, and to make referrals where indicated (Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC), 2015). Those with hEDS receiving maternity care may or may not be in possession of a firm diagnosis, yet the midwife’s awareness of hEDS and its effect on pregnancy may not only instigate more timely and appropriate referrals, but also improve the quality of any professional advice given.

Midwives are required to practise in line with the best available evidence (NMC, 2015); yet there are no uniform management guidelines for childbearing women with hEDS, and the evidence available in relation to prevalence is sometimes highly conflicting (Tinkle et al, 2017). For example, in contrast to the majority of evidence presented in this paper, some studies reported that the incidences of adverse outcomes in those with some subtypes of EDS were no different from those in the general obstetrical population (Castori et al, 2012; Khalil et al, 2013; Hermans-Lê et al, 2014; Sundelin et al, 2017). This presents additional challenges to educating and making the best evidence-based decisions in partnership with women. Additionally, for some women with EDS, symptoms may worsen (especially gastrointestinal complaints, fatigue, and pain), while for others they may improve or remain unchanged (Castori et al, 2012; Tinkle et al, 2017). It is also important to note that although many women and babies may present with joint hypermobility, not all will have a molecularly proven syndromic condition or experience symptoms that negatively affect their lives (Castori et al, 2017).

Key points
- Pregnancies associated with hypermobile Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome (hEDS) are considered to be complex, with increased maternal and neonatal risks
- Those with all types of EDS report a lack of awareness among health professionals and therefore delayed access to appropriate care
- The risks associated with hEDS in childbearing include higher rates of infertility, preterm labour, preterm rupture of membranes, problems with anaesthesia, atonic uterus, bleeding, tears during birth, wound dehiscence, skin hyperextensibility, poor healing, fetal malpresentation, bruising, cardiac anomalies, mental health issues, pelvic prolapse, cervical tissue abnormalities, unstable joints and tissue fragility
- Individualised approaches to maternity care planning should be devised in partnership with the woman and multidisciplinary teams

Conclusion
The management of hEDS in childbearing women and babies is complex. Yet, as hEDS remains largely underdiagnosed (Castori, 2012; Gazit et al, 2016), there is opportunity for midwives, childbearing women and multidisciplinary teams to address this issue in pursuit of optimal and evidence-based maternity care. This article has drawn on the existing evidence to explore the midwifery care considerations for childbearing women with hEDS.

The evidence presented here demonstrates how the complications associated with hEDS and childbearing can be significant; therefore, in the absence of obstetric management guidelines for hEDS pregnancies, maternity care plans should be made and agreed in partnership with women and their families on an individual basis. Working in partnership with members of the multidisciplinary team will also be crucial in ensuring that those with EDS achieve the most appropriate maternity care plans and symptom management.

In light of a paucity of evidence in this area, further high-quality research is required to address gaps in existing knowledge, facilitate evidence-based practice and formulate robust hEDS guidelines for pregnancy, birth and beyond. Midwives have an important role in the multidisciplinary team approach to caring for women with hEDS by providing routine care, identifying and reducing risk, making swift referrals where appropriate, supporting individualised care and giving evidence-informed education to colleagues, childbearing women and the wider public. BJM
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